Mt. San Antonio College Curriculum & Instruction Council

Minutes September 10, 2013 3:30 – 5:00 pm Conference Room: Bldg. 4, Room 2440

Α	George Bradshaw	X	Tom Edson	X	Mary Johnson	X	Irene Malmgren, Co-Chair
х	Donna Burns	Х	Jamaika Fowler	Е	Eric Kaljumagi	X	John Pellitteri
х	Jason Chevalier	X	Michelle Grimes-Hillman, Co-Chair	x	Terri Long	X	Dan Smith
Stu	Student Representative: Recorder: Irene Inouye						

	Agenda	Outcome		
1.	Approval of Council Minutes	Outcome		
	June 11, 2013	Approved		
11.	Information			
	DLC Annual Report	There was some discussion on the wording of some of the DL		
	•	goals and a recommendation to improve Distance Learning		
	Style Sheet (Local Course Review Practices)	update to the Academic Senate.		
		Michelle will provide the council with an electronic copy of the		
		revised style sheet. The style sheet will be presented as an		
		information item to the Academic Senate.		
111.	Distance Learning Committee Minutes	If a Distance Learning (DL) approved source is pleased in a		
''''	Distance Learning Committee Minutes:	If a Distance Learning (DL) approved course is placed in a degree or certificate which results in greater that 50% of the		
	May 14, 2013	course offered in DL, then the courses approved for DL must be		
	May 28, 2013	submitted as a substantive change to ACCJC for approval.		
	Educational Design Committee Minutes:	Submitted as a substantive change to Acose for approval.		
	August 26, 2013	Accepted		
	Equivalency Committee Minutes:			
	Outcomes Committee Minutes:			
	May 28, 2013	Accepted		
	Transfer & General Education Subcommittee Report:	nocepted		
	Transition a Series at Education Subseries Reports			
IV.				
	Dance Certificate	Approved		
V.	Items for Discussion or Action			
	EDC Purpose and Function and Membership List - M. Grimes-	Michelle presented some small changes to the purpose and		
	Hillman	function and membership of EDC.		
		Approved. Forward to Academic Senate for approval		
	Outcomes Committee Purpose and Function Statement	Michelle explained that changes to Outcomes Committee		
		Purpose and Function Statement accidentally skipped C&I's		
		approval and were approved by AS.		
		Approved. The new membership list will be updated and		
	Content Review Implementation Plan – M. Grimes-Hillman	forwarded to Academic Senate for approval.		
	Content Neview Implementation Plan – IVI. Grimes-millidit	Michelle explained to C&I the process of reporting changes to		
		prerequisites, corequisites, and advisories to Chancellor's Office		
		and informed the council that this year the CO has a new		
		guideline which requires reporting through statistical validation		
		those courses that include prerequisites or corequisites that are		
		outside of discipline of the course, e.g. BIOL 4 with CHEM 10 as		
		prerequisite (with exception of English, Math, and reading)		
		Approved. Forward to AS for approval.		
	Content review for CTE program and course requisites. CTE	The CTE deans put together this proposal as a way to monitor		
	Deans recommendations – T. Long	the 2-yr approval process for Prerequisites, Corequisites and		
	····	Advisories (PCA) on CTE courses.		
		Many advisory committees recommend placing PCA on courses.		
		Mary asked if advisory committees will have to approve all		
		courses' PCAs and what to do if there are no recommended		
		changes to PCA?		
		Terri explained that if there are no changes, advisory		
		committees can create a report approving the review of courses		

	with no changes and the report can be included in the minutes.
	Jamaika clarified that having PAC recommended by advisory
	committees should not affect articulation especially if it is for
	students to be better prepared. Such recommendations by
	advisory committees can always be included as advisory
	prerequisites instead.
	The goal for this plan is to simplify processes and stay on top of
	processes.
	C&I recommended making sure that the process proposed by
	the CTE deans aligns with the existing plan.
Department and Advisory Minutes M. Crimes Hillman	Terri and Michelle will work to ensure this is true.
Department and Advisory Minutes – M. Grimes-Hillman	Michelle explained to the Council the new feature of WebCMS
	which facilitates attaching documents such department and
	advisory committee minutes. These minutes should be attached
	to every course or program whenever there are changes and
	even for 4-yr review courses.
	Michelle has been attaching minutes as a courtesy to faculty but
	cannot continue to do this.
	Faculty have been instructed in different ways on how to use
	this feature and Michelle is asking for this Council's support to
	send courses without the proper standard attachments back to
	the author as early as November 2013.
	Tom asked that this issue be brought to the full academic senate
	so that faculty know what the expectations are.
	Terri explained that faculty who submitted programs for review
	have attached the required documents. When the required
	documents are not attached, the programs have been returned
	to the author. Terri has not gotten any negative feedback
	regarding these requests.
	Jason requested to send courses back to the chair instead of the
	author in order to keep track of the returned courses.
	Michelle indicated that when courses are sent back, deans and
	chairs are notified via email.
	If a course was sent back for various reasons including missing
	minutes, it should be sent back to the author.
	Faculty should be looking for emails from the Curriculum Office
	for issues about courses and programs.
	C&I recommend sending courses directly to stage 1.
	Mary explained that she is communicating to faculty submitting
	courses for DL approval to have available minutes approving the
	course for DL.
	Approved. Forward to AS for approval.
Stand-alone course proposals on Hold – M. Grimes-Hillman	EDC had referred several courses to C&I several during 2011
otana alono ocarco proposalo en riola ilin cilinico ilininali	which were created for GE or stand-alone status only. These
	courses were put on hold by EDC. The authors of these courses
	were informed that their courses did not meet CO criteria for
	approval and were placed in "curriculum purgatory".
	Jamaika thinks that the authors of honor courses on the list were
	not going to pursue the approval any more.
	Michelle is requesting this Council's permission to return the
	courses to author for deletion or resubmittal.
	Terri suggested to return these courses to stage 1, have these
	authors complete the new cover sheet which contains better
	questions about rationale for a creation of a course, whether the
	courses is meant to be part of a program or a stand-alone
	course. This will be a good way for EDC review the justification
	submitted to approve these courses. If faculty choose to delete
	the course they can deleted themselves at stage 1.
	What if faculty create courses that will be included in a program
	before the program is created?
	The course is sent back and the author is required to send the
	program simultaneously with the course in it.
	Does Mt. SAC has a program for entertaining curriculum
	proposals and see if college has the resources to support these
	proposals?
	μιυμυδαίδ:

			about Feasibility for have ideas approve There is a new Curr that may considered	rms for new courses and before the work is riculum and Program d this topic for this di	Development Committee iscussion.
AGFR 20 inactivation – T. Long		C&I recommend returning these courses to stage 1. How long we can keep a course that has not been offered in years in the books? AGFR 20 has a parks and outdoor recreation TOPS codes, it is stand-alone and GE and has not been offered in 4 years. Natural Sciences Division wants to keep it because they might want to offer it next spring. The Curriculum Office has suggested including the course in a program, changing the top code, etc. BIOL 25 is being created to replace AGFR 20 but the new BIOL course should not be assigned to the same TOPS code as the AGFR course. Additionally, the new course should be proposed to be part of an existing program. The guidelines are that if a course is not offered in 2 yrs. should be inactivated. C&I Recommended inactivation of AGFR 20 effective			
2013-13 Meetings 3:30-5:00	September 11 & 25, 2012 October 9 & 23, 2012	November 13 & 27, 2012	December 11, 2012	Prward to AS for ap February 26, 2013 March 12 & 26, 2013	May 14 & 28, 2013 June 11, 2013
2 nd & 4 th Tuesdays				April 9 & 23, 2013	