Mt. San Antonio College Campus Equity and Diversity Committee (CEDC) Group Memory of May 5, 2014 8:00 a.m. – 9:30 a.m.

Committee Members:		
☐ Clarence Brown ☐ Ton ☐ Elizabeth Bravo ☐ Lore	nnne Franco (notes) m Edson (Co-Chair) raine Y. Jones (Co-Chair) mbiz Khoddam Robert Montoya Ana Tafoya-Diaz Tim Takashima Tuan Vo	☑ Lisa Zahn☑ James P. Czaja☑ Tony Rivas
ITEM	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTION/OUTCOME
1. Welcome/Introductions	Meeting began at: 8:15amThe committee went through introductions	
2. Review Memory from April 7, 2014	 Memory from April 7, 2014 was approved as written. It was requested that moving forward, should there be any maissues please send the information to Tom Edson, Lorraine Y Jones, or Joanne Franco prior to the next meeting, so that we prepare for the next meeting; There were no objections to the suggested process 	r. e may
3. Agenda Review	 There were no additions or changes to the current agenda JC: was the membership already approved? TE: in terms of approval, we should re-submit and Tony is officially here until June, and his membership is alread approved Tony previously served on the Student Equity Committee LYJ: No problems with the committee membership JC: Jim Jenkins is excited to be on the committee TE: Yes he is unable to attend as he is in another meeting LYJ: CB sent some feedback 	Tom Edson: will send out a purpose / function statement and provide it to Joanne Franco and Lorraine Y. Jones
4. ASCCC (statewide Academic Statement) Resolution 3.01 for Campus Equity and Diversity committee	 TE: informed all that the ASCCC Resolution 3.01 was passed Academic Senate. CEDC can still provide feedback if they wis The intent to change the manner of collection of data from the Chancellor's office is to improve the collection of data and exall categories throughout the system LYJ: Since this is for staff and students, LYJ contacted the Chancellor's office, however has not yet heard back; LYJ wou to ask them, since we are supporting them, what is the Chancellofice doing on their end TE: Let us wait for a response and a report from the Chancell office regarding this topic before CEDC moves forwards with statement; we don't have the software 	sh e cpand uld like cellor's or's

	LYJ contacted MIS from the Chancellor's office
	JC: The categories are not accurately reflected/ this comes up from
	time to time – the databases do not come up but all need to feed
	into the same reporting categories; Do all people know how it will be
	reported?
	GAP: The State used to look at unwed mothers; all categories with
	unwed mothers, I would fall into, because my last name was
	hyphenated
5. Overview and Implementation	LYJ: CB sent some feedback to LYJ regarding the EEO Plan
Title 5 EEO Updates	CB's changes: in the Introduction – "aiming to ensure," making the
	statement more broad
	TE: in reviewing the changes sent from LYJ: steps to insure
	elimination of bias – nondiscrimination of bias
	JC: if you find that something inappropriate has happened, that is
	where you may shut down a recruitment pool
	LYJ: CB: Section D. Diversity: would like to add to this
	TE: We do ask candidates about cultural/ethnic diversity, butpre-
	screening of applications, steer away from - TE is curious and
	would be interested in speaking to CB
	JC: Does not think we should modify the legal definition of diversity
	would be concerned about compliance issues down the line
	·
	LYJ: CB: places where the district is not capitalized "District" LYJ: These additional access discrete forces the FEO Place and things.
	LYJ: These definitions came directly from the EEO Plan; one thing
	to note is that the statistical analysis that was done before was not
	really done LYJ did not have any availability data to compare to
	LYJ: the previous EEO plan had defined monitored groups, did not
	understand how that happened
	TE: we define our monitored groups?
	LYJ: Sect 53004B: The definition states: "each applicant or
	employee shall be afforded the opportunity to identify his or her
	gender, ethnic group identification and, if applicable, his or her
	disability. A person may designate multiple ethnic groups with which
	he or she identifies, but shall be counted in only one ethnic group for
	reporting purposes" we are supposed to allow each person to
	identify their groupit's not telling us a specific group, but allows
	them to enter it themselves. It also identifies where a person can
	enter in multiple groups but will only be recorded as one group.
	LYJ: Indicated that she was at a training last Thursday and Friday –
	after meeting with others, Mt SAC is still ahead – monitored groups
	not removed – we still should look at our groups. – would like to
	meet with Barbara McNeice -Stallard to look at longitudinal groups
	TE: While relative to other Community Colleges, what is the time

- schedule for the plan? Have they revised the date for implementation? Since it is Oct 2013?
- LYJ: They do not have the staffing resources but have not revised the dates; we did have an approved plan, and our hope was to have the committee work and complete it this semester, as it still needs to go through the appropriate approvals
- The Board Of Trustees needs to be trained on the EEO plan and we are ahead, since that was done in February 2014
- JC: A person may designate multiple ethnic groups, but counted in one group for reporting purposes – do you tell them which one will be reported?
- TE: hypothesis: if we allow employees and prospective applicants to select which will be reported – it adds nuance to our HR process, if we can use that data – we can manage those conversations here –
- JC: An example: Kinesiology has a large amount of Asians, but mainly Samoans, etc.
- TE: LYJ can you tell us what you have done?
- LYJ: LYJ went through our plan and went through it side by side, with the EEO Regulations to determine where the revisions were made; also went through components that are no longer applicable; very minimal – but made some minor changes in that section
- Plan component 5: added what we came up for how our CEDC committee will be structured –
- For complaints, component 6: the previous version referred to BP's(Board Policies)/AP's(Administrative Policies) relating to complaints relating to the EEO plan – there is a complaint process someone can engage in...it is not the same as someone filing a complaint because they've been discriminated upon – LYJ added a section – these are complaints not related to discrimination & harassment – also added was the complaint form;
- Tony Rivas: P11 Questioned if we legally have it as the designee?
 Maybe to reword as, "or designee as defined by Mt. SAC"
- JC: Designation of authority
- LYJ: page 4: JC is designated
- TR: What was the reason to include the designee...
- TV: Would that mean that someone would take over if the designee was not available? Should we appoint someone for that timeframe?
- JC: The person listed as the designee would return to JC during that timeframe
- LYJ: in our current AP/BP it also states current designee
- LYJ: plan component 8: JC and LYJ wanted to spend some time to discuss; hiring committees – the requirement to complete a training

- w/in 12 months is not new...we also have EEO representatives on the committees – we have added language as far as why we have EEO representatives
- JC: We were unable to find a college or community college definition
 of what an EEO representative actually is and what they do; How
 would you describe to someone who has never been? What are the
 goals of EEO representatives? It made sense that they are nonvoting; What other things would cause them to be fair and impartial?
 There may be some times during the year where we cannot have
 EEO representatives
- LYJ: The ideal will be EEO representatives have no stake in the process other than maintaining the integrity of the recruitments
- JC: This is a policy issue; Our plan; Did we want to address in the individual hiring AP's or a broad policy issue that gets addressed in the AP's
- TE: That appears to be a very good description;
- JC: We are not sure if that information is what we want to add to the AP's, HR policies, etc.
- TE: Not sure if this is something we need to discuss prior to the approval of the plan; so, the broad policy and then implementation of the AP's to follow
- TE: Some questions about the hiring policy: Component 8: hiring committee: why is it within 12 months? Where is that derived from?
- LYJ: the regulations we have just developed this training and it
 has only been presented to certain departments; We have also
 presented it to POD (Professional & Organizational Development)
 for training it states that every hiring committee will go through
 training in order to be on a committee
- TE: Once the plan is approved, we need to make sure that Academic Senate and CSEA is in agreement
- LYJ: Should we add the role and definition under the EEO Representatives to be more consistent?
- JC: yes
- JC: If it is not in the plan it will not be brought up elsewhere.
- TE: For legal exposure down the road....we are not HR experts and rely on you for these issues as the language comes forward here and gets approval; HR will need to move forward to get this implemented; with the multiple POD trainings on the calendar, we cannot complain
- Tony: The last sentence under EEO Representative can we add: the screening process
- LYJ: pg 14 LYJ did not take it out; it was previously there; Does

	the committee want this in the plan, since CEDC does not provide the training? ATD: Does remember this being discussed and having ongoing training with professional development TE: What was the role of CEDC? TV: It was not meant for CEDC to provide the actual training. TE: maybe we strike everything after HR; however, there is a purpose for CEDC: Maybe CEDC occasionally reviews the training HR is presenting; so we are keeping this body as a policy body, not an implementation body; we make our suggestions; since the plan needs to be reviewed every 3 years, we will still review JC: Really likes that idea as this is in support of HR TE: There is new language there – the last paragraph does not have anything to do with the subsetwhich discusses the training process itself – movethe director (under hiring committee) – we can leave or reiterate LYJ: LYJ will adjust the plan TE: What plan components did Academic Senate strike? LYJ: Parts of 10, 12 (the new 11), everything that had to do with recruitment/selection	
6. EEO Parking Lot		
7. Open Session (open items)		
8. Set agenda for next meeting	 Welcome/Introductions Review Memory from May 5, 2014 Agenda Review ASCCC (statewide Academic Statement) Resolution 3.01 for Campus Equity and Diversity committee Overview and implementation of Title 5 EEO Updates EEO Parking Lot Open Session (open items) Set agenda for next meeting 	

FUTURE MEETING DATES June 2, 2014

Meeting ended at 9:___am