

### Attendance:

\_Audrey Yamagata-Noji, \_Richard McGowan, \_Eric Kaljumagi, \_ Kristina Allende, \_Susan Wright, \_, George Bradshaw, \_Tom Mauch, \_Jim Ocampo, \_Alina Hernandez, \_Micol Christopher, LeAnn Garrett, Glenda Bro, Linda Diaz

**Guest**: Juan Carlos Astorga

Absent: Matt Judd, Alex Mendoza, Edgar Soto, David Castanenda

<u>Item</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Status</u>	Meeting Outcome
1.0	Review Agenda & Minutes from November 7, 2011 Meeting	3.0 Correction: "Sun Easo" should be "Ezzell".  Agenda Correction: Le <b>A</b> nn	Approved with changes
2.0	Introduction Linda Diaz – CSEA Representative		Informational
3.0	Information Sharing: Audrey:	Audrey shared about the CCLC conference and the ASAP effort at L.A. Pierce – which was formulated on the Mt. SAC Bridge Program (Math Bridge).	Informational
	Richard:  • Item forward to the Academic Senate: Implementation process of BP 4250 and AP 4250: Probation, Dismissal, and Reinstatement	<ul> <li>Richard updated the Council on the following:         <ul> <li>Early warning intervention from counseling – still working through the Senate.</li> </ul> </li> <li>An email was sent to the deans regarding completing equivalencies for Degree Works, showing the outstanding disciplines.</li> <li>Requested deans to work with their department chairs to clarify what is missing/needed. Explanation regarding English: English was one of the initial departments that submitted information, which may have led to confusion about completing the equivalencies of other English courses.</li> </ul>	
4.0	Ongoing Issues:		
a.	AP 5055 Enrollment Priorities- Audrey	The Council continued discussion whether to hold Veterans students to the same academic standards as other students receiving priority registration and whether or not to place a timeframe limit on eligibility for category 1 priority registration. Questions	The Council recommended the following:  • AP 5055 passed; proceeds to Academic Senate for review and



<u>Item</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Status</u>	Meeting Outcome
		discussed: Should we be more understanding and supportive of Veterans due to the potential for many conditions impacting their enrollment -OR- should we hold to consistency and treat Vets the same as all other students?  Students' priority status is submitted via Banner by "forms" or by "attributes".  Time Frame: concurrence Academic Progress (2.00 GPA): In support: 7 Opposed: 4	<ul> <li>approval.</li> <li>Military time frame eligibility: concurrence to allow eligibility without a time restriction</li> <li>Academic GPA: passes – holds Veteran students to abide by the academic standards (2.00 GPA)</li> </ul>
b.	AP 4225 – Course Repetition (substandard grades, withdrawals, extenuating circumstances) – Audrey/George	<ul> <li>The Council reviewed and discussed the following proposed draft:         <ul> <li>Change in state Title 5 language – due effective Summer 2012.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Council suggested the following changes         <ul> <li>red sections to read: Students who on their first and second enrollment receive a substandard symbol of "D', "F", "NP" or "NC " or "W" may only re-enroll in the same class one more time, unless the class is deemed as repeatable. Classes deemed as "repeatable" may be taken a total of four enrollments based on course designation."         <ul> <li>OR- remove the first 5 paragraphs</li> <li>Also change to "Students may repeat courses due to a "MW" or "extraordinary conditions."</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	Eric, LeAnn, George, Alina and Matt will meet to review the suggested changes and clarify the language.
C.	Response to Task Force on Student Success – Audrey	The Council reviewed and discussed the following:  Many individuals and departments have expressed their thoughts about the proposed (preliminary) recommendations.  Collectively, we have not developed an institutional response.  This Council is empowered to address issues related to Student Success. What should our collective response be?  Master Plan Meeting: campus leaders went through the report/recommendations point by point.  Audrey suggested to discuss the recommendations point by point or can divide our responses into general categories such as: 1. Given enough money we support; 2.	The Council recommended the following:  Richard will ask Ginny for the follow-up notes from the master planning meeting related to the Task Force.  To postpone discussion to the next meeting on December 5th.



<u>Item</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Status</u>	Meeting Outcome
		appear to have merit but need further discussion; 3. not acceptable as current written or intended.	
d.	Student Success Teams-	<ul> <li>The Basic Skills Committee will review this item at their next meeting.</li> <li>The Council reviewed and discussed the following:</li> <li>Achievement of Student's Own Goals:         <ul> <li>Multiple options for goals for students. What students may want to pursue may not be what student's goals are – because we don't have the particular major. In fact, when the student's goal is very specific, it is difficult to track. Short-term, non-certificate goals are hard to track, even though it may have met the students' goals.</li> <li>How to we measure students' goal completion based on what they wanted to attain – not necessarily a certificate, degree or transfer.</li> <li>External measures require that we show that students are completing certificates, degrees, and transfer programs – not that the student met their own, very specific goal.</li> <li>Other goals can be: course completion; completing a series of courses – making smaller, definable goals.</li> <li>Develop a way to check/track students as they move through the system to mark their progress.</li> <li>DegreeWorks will allow students to track their own progress toward institutional goals.</li> <li>Personalized messages to students to encourage their advancement – in and through basic skills; proximity to receiving a certificate, a degree, or transfer.</li> <li>Some CTE students come only to take a specific course/training that they needed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Council recommended:</li> <li>To continue discussion and review at the next meeting.</li> <li>A plan with recommended actions will be compiled to go to the Senate in the spring.</li> <li>Look at a Student Success Conference II – to include the work from the Student Success Teams and the eventual recommendations from the Task Force on Student Success.</li> </ul>



<u>Item</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Status</u>	Meeting Outcome
5.0	Reports from Committees  Assessment & Matriculation – Jim Ocampo		Tabled
	<ul> <li>Student Equity – Juan Carlos         Astorga/Phil Maynard     </li> </ul>		Tabled
	<ul><li>Basic Skills – Glenda Bro</li></ul>		Tabled
6.0	Set Agenda for next meeting: December 5, 2011	AP 4225 Course Repetition and Withdrawals Task Force Student Success Recommendations Student Success Team Reports ARCC 2011 Report	