## **Lessons Learned – Implications for Accreditation Process**

Informed by Classified Communication Summit (2-6-12) & Post-Accreditation Reflection (3-11-11)

Areas of Focus	Implication for Accreditation
Committee Structures	
Ongoing Accreditation Committee	<ul> <li>Guide the accreditation progress and process for the college</li> <li>Establish protocol for accreditation participants to share concerns</li> <li>Determine alternates for each representative</li> <li>Check-in with all constituents throughout process for inclusion at all stages</li> <li>Create annual accreditation status report</li> </ul>
Team Leadership	<ul> <li>Tri-Chairs for Standards' Teams (management, classified, faculty)</li> <li>Criteria for selection of Chairs (expertise, organization, facilitation skills, etc.)</li> </ul>
Team Membership	<ul> <li>Broadly representative (experts/non-experts, veterans/novices)</li> <li>Transparent selection process</li> <li>Solicit broad participation through awareness training, newsletters, and personal invitation</li> <li>Plan for change in student participation based on A.S. term limits</li> <li>Solicit firm commitment/participation agreement</li> </ul>
<b>Committee Processes</b>	
Team Leaders	<ul> <li>Regular, group debriefing meetings w/ALO</li> <li>Established agendas/tasks based on timeline and calendar</li> <li>Protocol for assessment of standards</li> </ul>
Standards' Teams	<ul> <li>Establish facilitation/discussion protocols to solicit and value input from all</li> <li>Establish and implement group norms of professionalism and respect within each team (including negative body language)</li> <li>Establish protocol for group decision making (consensus building)</li> <li>Choose and commit to communication method for meetings &amp; shared documents (emails, portal announcements, chat room, Dropbox, etc.)</li> <li>Establish meeting dates, times, and locations each term (include on master calendar with minimum # meetings &amp; internal writing deadlines/review)</li> <li>Define and review roles and responsibilities frequently (substitutes /double representation in case of absence or attrition)         <ul> <li>Foster trust through team member self-identified strengths (leadership, writing, organization, etc.)</li> <li>Identify writers early in process</li> </ul> </li> <li>Structures in place to ensure training and ongoing support (roles/responsibilities, FAQ's, glossary of terms, mentoring/pairing)</li> </ul>
Communication	
Campus-wide	<ul> <li>ANNOUNCE campus emails must be perceived as directly affecting the participant. (target each group/listserve: classified, faculty, management.)</li> <li>Written and verbal recognition for individual contributions</li> <li>Continue newsletters and Presidential emails</li> </ul>
Two-way dialogue	Structures for sharing documents (Ex: Shared folder, Dropbox, Google Drive)
Timeline/Calendar	<ul> <li>General timeline with overview of tasks</li> <li>Monthly calendar with ALL team meetings, tasks, due dates</li> <li>Periodic self-reflection/wrap-up (best practices &amp; effective logistics)</li> <li>Early due dates may interfere with relevancy of process</li> </ul>

Areas of Focus	Implication for Accreditation
Communication	
Surveys	Transparency and inclusion of all in design process (questions solicited from
	all teams in addition to RIE experts
	Send results to the campus along with planned use/action to be taken
Open Forums	Opportunity for input and questions
	Use during review of self-study draft
Training	
General	Ongoing through use of POD, Convocation Day, etc.
	Include "big picture" of accreditation (general overview to specific team
	participation)
	Orientation/pre-training regarding roles and responsibilities
	Separate workshops/training as necessary to support targeted groups
	(classified, faculty, management)
	Link accreditation to ongoing work of ongoing committees, processes
Team Chairs	Structured, targeted meetings (timelines, agendas, minutes, time-keepers)
	Training in effective group facilitation (team building activities, meeting
	conduct, collaboration, dialogue, constructive feedback, consensus building)
	Effective written & verbal recognition for member contributions
Standards' Team Members	Orientation and notebook of resources (updated frequently)
	Focus on final product & overall Self-Study process/procedures
	Transparency in writing, Self-Evaluation, and Planning Agenda
	Workshops on specific committee tasks
	Understanding the standard  - Define to revise the revise to revise the
	<ul> <li>Define terminology/acronyms</li> <li>How to address duplication of standards</li> </ul>
	The to dudices duplication of standards
	o Gathering evidence (brainsform for what to gather, how to cite, how to gather, where to turn it in)
	<ul> <li>Writing (formatting, style, content, voice, amount of detail)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Aggregating data to determine planning agenda</li> </ul>
	Re-training check-points for new members
Managers	Minimize conflict between Classified contractual obligations and
S	participation by establishing clear guidelines regarding participation
	commitment and release time (1-on-1 with ALO?)
Classified	Clear explanation regarding roles/responsibilities/time commitment of
	participation
	Training in regarding final product & overall Self-Study process/procedures
	Establish clear connections between accreditation process and direct effect
	on classified service/job responsibilities/department
The Report	
Editing	Determine editing criteria for final version (transparency in what will be
	edited and why)
	Strict adherence to calendar for last day to make changes
Drafts	User-friendly access to report feedback (bullet outline, standard sub-
	sections, etc.)
·· Dronhox\ACCIC 2016\I essonsi e	Solicit wider-range of input
	Ask department teams and ongoing committees to include
	review of draft as agenda item
	More time to review (30 days)

File: Dropbox\ACCJC 2016\LessonsLearned2010\Accreditation Lessons Learned.docx