

**Mt. San Antonio College
Budget Committee
Summary of February 16, 2011**

Committee Members:

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mike Gregoryk, Chair | <input type="checkbox"/> Jennifer Galbraith | <input type="checkbox"/> Laura Martinez | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kerry Martinez (Notes) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Linda Baldwin | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Art Morales | <input type="checkbox"/> Audrey Yamagata-Noji | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Virginia Burley | <input type="checkbox"/> James Thao | <input type="checkbox"/> Jaejin Eum | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jason Chevalier | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Denise Lindholm | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jean Garrett | <input type="checkbox"/> |

ITEM	DISCUSSION/COMMENTS	ACTION/OUTCOME
1. Review Agenda		Approved, as submitted.
2. Review Meeting Summaries of November 17, 2010, and December 1, 2010	The Budget Committee Meeting Summaries of November 17, 2010, and December 1, 2010, were reviewed and approved, as submitted.	Approved, as submitted.
3. State Budget Update	<p>Mike Gregoryk reviewed, with the Budget Committee members, a PowerPoint presentation titled "Budget Impact Assumptions 2011-12." He stated he will be presenting this at the Spring Classified opening meeting.</p> <p>Governor Brown's proposed budget reductions for the California Community Colleges is 6.8% or \$400 million, which translates into additional students losing access to classes. He also proposes a \$10 fee increase, from \$26 per unit to \$36 per unit, a 38.5% increase.</p> <p>Mike explained how the Governor's proposals would affect Mt. SAC and gave three scenarios. An election will need to be held in June and a tax package extending the current taxes will need to be put on the ballot and passed in order for Scenario #1 to happen, which is a net reduction of \$3,655,000. Mike explained that this would not require further reductions or layoffs and is the best scenario. Scenario #2 is a net reduction of \$8,705,000, which is what will happen if</p>	

	<p>the June tax package fails and Prop. 98 is funded at a minimum. Scenario #3 is a net reduction of \$18,131,000, which is what will happen if the June tax package fails and Prop. 98 is suspended. Mike explained that Scenarios #2 and #3 would require serious budget reductions and possible layoffs.</p> <p>The Legislative Analyst's Office for California Community Colleges gave recommendations should the June tax package fail:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Impose a 90-unit cap on each student's taxpayer-subsidized credits to save \$250 million.■ Increase community college fees from \$26/unit to \$66/unit to save \$170 million.■ Eliminate State subsidy for intercollegiate athletics to save \$55 million.■ Reduce non-credit rate, go back to the original rate.■ Not allow repeat classes for physical education or art classes.■ Discontinue funding for non-credit physical education classes. <p>The employer pension cost rate for CalPERS is currently at 10.707%, and the proposed 2011-12 rate is 11.200%, which translates into an additional \$142,000 expense for Mt. SAC, which was not budgeted. The CalSTRS employer contribution is currently 8.25%, the employee contribution is 8.00%, and the State contributes 2.00%. CalSTRS is underfunded by \$3.8 billion, which will cause contributions to go from 18.25% to 39.00%.</p> <p>Potential reform ideas include an increased employee</p>	
--	--	--

	contribution and a two-tier system for new employees. Mike stated Mt. SAC needs to start planning should the tax extensions fail.	
--	--	--

FUTURE MEETING DATES (3:00 p.m. – 4:30 p.m.)

March 16, 2011

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Continue Review of Actual Expenses for Previous Year of Selected Departments/Units

Continue Discussion of Creation of Priorities/Guidelines for Reducing the Budget, Reduction of Expenses in the Budget