Mt. San Antonio College Budget Committee Summary of May 13, 2009 Meeting

| Committee Members: | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|---|--|
| \boxtimes | Linda Baldwin Virginia Burley | | Rawlings rey Yamagata-Noji ald La | |
| | | | | |
| | ITEM | DISCUSSION/COMMEN | ITS | ACTION/OUTCOME |
| 1. | Agenda | | | Approved, as submitted. |
| 2. | Review Group Summary of April 22, 2009 | The Budget Committee Summary of April reviewed and approved, with one correcti suggestion to add bullets. | on and a | Approved, as submitted with one correction and formatting changes added. |
| 3. | Continue Discussion – New Resources Allocation and Budget Review and Development Processes | Linda Baldwin stated she will be atter conference and hopefully will have megarding the budget when she return is still incorrect. Linda stated she red from the Chancellor's Office and was is ongoing which is usually one-time. Eric Kaljumagi inquired about the new borrowing. Linda stated that she has done a case Mt. SAC should be alright through the should be able to report more on Mareviewing the budget implications with results. The tentative budget will be a the June 10th budget committee mee budget Review and Development Pris nice that the timing issue was add. Eric explained the flow chart to the constated he feels new money should allowing budgets. Virginia Burley stated that the Budget not be allocating and suggested ther instead of targeting certain programs. Linda suggested a box be added to the where the Budget Committee commit campus when funds are available. | nore information ns. The latest P1 ceived a phone call stold that the deficit ed for external sh flow analysis and e end of July. Mike y 27 th after th the election ready to review at etting. ed Proposed ocess and stated it ressed. ommittee and ways be one-time. et Committee should matic reviews s. the flow sheet | Eric Kaljumagi will revise the New Resources Allocation and Budget Review and Development Processes flow chart and bring to next Budget Committee meeting for review and completion. |

- Virginia suggested requests be submitted, whether funds are available or not, to identify the campus needs.
- Linda stated there is a need for more detail than the PIE process provides, and there are no dollars tied to it.
- Eric stated if a request for money is generated, it should go to Budget Committee before President's Advisory Council. It should be tied to the PIE goals but Budget Committee does not have to see the PIE's.
- Linda stated she is concerned that departments will do their research and get pricing and then be upset when they don't get funded. She also noted that the prices can change by the time they are funded.
- Virginia suggested a new dollar resource request that ties into PIE planning. The work involved for this request will be different that what is currently done in PIE. Virginia noted that new money shows up in May, so the resource request should apply to the next academic year.
- Audrey Yamagata-Noji presented a scenario as follows: If a department's request is denied and new money shows up all of a sudden, will the funding hold over for another year?
- Linda stated the process can still be followed, but it might not happen in the month listed on the flow chart.
- Eric stated he would make the suggested changes to the flow chart to review and complete at the next Budget Committee meeting.

FUTURE MEETING DATES (3:00 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.)

May 27, 2009 June 10, 2009