

Members

X Audrey Yamagata-Noji (Co-Chair)

George Bradshaw

XGlenda Bro

X Dennis Balmaceda

X Jeff Archibald (Co-Chair) XDan Smith XKristina Allende Tom Mauch

XMatt Judd XJim Ocampo XLeAnn Garrett —Micol Christopher

XAlina Hernandez XLinda Diaz XBarbara Gonzalez XMay Ochoa

<u>ltem</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Discussion</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>
1.0	Review Agenda & Minutes from March 17 th meeting.		Action: Minutes approved unanimously
2.0	Reports from Committees		
a.	Assessment & Matriculation – Jim Ocampo	Jim shared the approved minutes of March 12, 2014. He clarified the re-test vs competency: • For placement purposes, the college process allows re-testing every 3 months. • For competency purposes (reading), the college process has not allowed for a re-test. Jim reported that following was discussed • To add a more academic-based third prompt to the AWE. • The Committee agreed not until after the Rubric Study is completed. Jim reported that this Study will be completed in a year from now. • The new rubric is being used to place students but	Informational



the success data based upon placement will take some time. Jeff shared the following: • The Reading Task Force report will be voted on at the Academic Senate Execute Board on May 1 and will be going to the full Academic Senate on May 8 for discussion and approved by May 22. Since this task force is a Senate Task Force, the recommendations will go directly to AMAC from the Academic Senate. The results will be shared at the next Student Preparation and Success meeting. There will be an option for the Council or individual members to speak to this issue when it goes to the full Senate. b. Basic Skills – Glenda Bro Glenda reported the following: • At their next committee meeting, the Basic Skill Proposals requests submitted will be reviewed and the recommended funding will be sent to the Vice Presidents of Instruction and Student Services. • The proposals and recommendations from the VP's will be shared at May 5th meeting.	<u>ltem</u>	<u>Agenda Topic</u>	<u>Discussion</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>
 At their next committee meeting, the Basic Skill Proposals requests submitted will be reviewed and the recommended funding will be sent to the Vice Presidents of Instruction and Student Services. The proposals and recommendations from the VP's will be shared at May 5th meeting. 			some time. Jeff shared the following: • The Reading Task Force report will be voted on at the Academic Senate Execute Board on May 1 and will be going to the full Academic Senate on May 8 for discussion and approved by May 22. Since this task force is a Senate Task Force, the recommendations will go directly to AMAC from the Academic Senate. The results will be shared at the next Student Preparation and Success meeting. There will be an option for the Council or individual members to speak to this issue when it goes to the	bring forward the Reading Task Force recommendations to the
There were no minutes to share	b.	Basic Skills – Glenda Bro	 At their next committee meeting, the Basic Skill Proposals requests submitted will be reviewed and the recommended funding will be sent to the Vice Presidents of Instruction and Student Services. The proposals and recommendations from the VP's 	Informational
c. Student Equity – Alina Alina reported the following: The Council recommended the	C.	Student Equity – Alina	Alina reported the following:	The Council recommended the



<u>Item</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Discussion</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>
	-Review and Approve Membership -Review 3/24/2014 minutes	 The committee has been meeting The membership is still missing the appointment of one faculty member. The April 7, 2014 approved minutes were distributed and shared with the Council. She shared that Dr. Scroggins has been meeting with the committee and has presented a design to have appointed writing teams to work on specific sections of the plan. Alina explained that the committee and appointed writing teams will need to work through the summer in order to complete the writing aspect of the report. The Council discussed the Student Equity Committee Membership Concern over a "guarantee" of only 3 faculty on this committee. Recommendation to add a faculty member (3 faculty appointed by the Academic Senate with a faculty cochair). The Bridge position was deemed to be too narrow and was recommended for removal from the membership. 	 With consensus, the Council recommended that a campus convening be held in order to provide avenues for input to the Student Equity Plan on the 5 specific Student Equity Indicators (Access, Course Completion, Basic Skills and ESL Completion, Degree and Certificate Completion and Transfer). Individuals participating, including students, are free to provide input to any of the five areas. Student Equity Committee To add a third and fourth faculty member, one of whom will serve as the co-chair. At least one of the two representatives, under the EOPS and DSPS appointment, must be faculty (approved by the Academic Senate) and one



<u>ltem</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Discussion</u>	Recommendations Programment 1985
			must be classified.
			Delete the Bridge
			representative.
3.0	<u>Updates</u>		
а	CIRP Survey – Jim	Plans are to being the survey on May 1. The threshold is on	
		1,400 by October, which will easily be completed as we	
		normally test 5,000 every Fall. This survey is only for new	
		students.	
b.	Graduate Survey – Alina	Alina reported that she and Audrey worked with Annel	
		Medina to improve and modify the Graduate Survey. An	
		attempt is being made to put this survey on line. The current	
		process is to hand a hard copy survey to the students when	
		they submit their petition for graduation. Students must turn	
		in graduation petitions manually to the Admissions and	
		Records office.	
4.0	Accreditation - ACCJC "New" Standards GAP	Kristina shared a hats nd-out with the Council. As the Co-	Council members must submit their
	Analysis – Kristina	Chair of the Accreditation Steering Committee, the	input, by standard, to Lucy by May
		Committee is requesting whether standards.	1.
		For example, I.A.2. "the institution uses data to determine	
		how effectively it is accomplishing its mission and whether	Jeff, Audrey and Lucy will compile
		the mission directs institutional priorities and <i>educational</i>	all of the submissions to be
		needs of students." Questions are:	reviewed at the May 5 meeting.
		1. Do we meet the Standards? Somewhat effective.	
		2. Evidence (based on data) We work on the CIRP,	
		CCSSE, Graduate Survey	



<u>ltem</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Discussion</u>	Recommendations
		Gaps (what's missing) Unsure that the institution is directing priorities	
		Assignments were made to those present to respond to this Gap Analysis by May 1. Since Council members are representative of the campus, receiving input on the accreditation standards from council members is seen as valuable.	
5.0	Old Issues:		
a.	AP 5520: Student Discipline Procedures – Carolyn Keys	Review 2 nd draft. Audrey reviewed, in general, the revised draft. Clarification was received that the Council requested that the reporting college employee report the incident within 5 days. The draft	A working group of Matt, LeAnn, and Linda will work with Audrey on a working group on the draft of this AP.
b.	AP 4027 – Catalog Rights – Linda & Alina	Review 2 nd draft. Revisions to AP 4027—Catalog Rights: • 3.b.(consideration for continuous attendance)—the student completed any units in a credit course at another regionally accredited post-secondary institution in at least one regular semester or two regular quarters in the (delete "previous") SUBSEQUENT academic years. The recommendation is to delete the word "previous" and substitute it with "subsequent". In this manner, students who began their enrollment at Mt. SAC, completed at least 45 units in continuous residence at Mt. SAC, transferred to another college and were	Linda and Alina will return to the next meeting with clarified wording to the proposed changes to AP 4027.



<u>ltem</u>	Agenda Topic	<u>Discussion</u>	Recommendations
		gone for more than one year, could transfer back their credits and still receive a degree from Mt. SAC under the same catalog rights in effect when they originally entered Mt. SAC. Concern that there is no "end term" to this concept. George proposed a three year time frame from their date of last continuous attendance at Mt. SAC until the transfer back of additional units with a petition to graduate. Linda and Alina will review their proposed recommendations to #4 Exception.	
6.0	New Issues	Toolin Mondadone to W. Exception	
a.	BP 5050 – Matriculation – Jim	Revise to align with SSSP – Jim will present at the next meeting.	
b.	AP 5050 – Matriculation - Jim	Revise to align with SSSP – Jim will present at the next meeting.	
7.0	Set Agenda for next meeting: May 5, 2014	 BP 5050 and AP 5050 – for action SSSP Plan Update, Enrollment Priorities – update and information AP 4027 – Catalog Rights – discussion and possible action AP 5520 – Student Discipline – for action Basic Skills Proposals – for action Reading Task Force Report – for information 	



<u>ltem</u>	<u>Agenda Topic</u>	<u>Discussion</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>